

(\*) **The chain rule in several variables**

Given a function of two variable  $z = f(x, y)$ , where both of the variables  $x, y$  are themselves functions of another variable,

$$x = x(t) \quad \text{and} \quad y = y(t),$$

it follows that  $z$  is (indirectly) a function of  $t$  as well. I.e.,

$$z = f(x, y) = f(x(t), y(t)) = \tilde{f}(t),$$

so it makes sense to compute  $dz/dt$ , which is done using the several-variables version of the chain rule:

$$\frac{dz}{dt} = \frac{\partial z}{\partial x} \cdot \frac{dx}{dt} + \frac{\partial z}{\partial y} \cdot \frac{dy}{dt}.$$

More generally (but only slightly), suppose that  $w = g(x, y, z)$ , where  $x, y, z$  are themselves functions of the variables  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ :

$$x = x(\alpha, \beta), \quad y = y(\alpha, \beta) \quad \text{and} \quad z = z(\alpha, \beta).$$

Then  $w$  is (indirectly) a function of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , and the partial derivatives  $\partial w/\partial \alpha$  and  $\partial w/\partial \beta$  are given by

$$\frac{\partial w}{\partial \alpha} = \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \cdot \frac{\partial x}{\partial \alpha} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial y} \cdot \frac{\partial y}{\partial \alpha} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} \cdot \frac{\partial z}{\partial \alpha} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial w}{\partial \beta} = \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \cdot \frac{\partial x}{\partial \beta} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial y} \cdot \frac{\partial y}{\partial \beta} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} \cdot \frac{\partial z}{\partial \beta}.$$

(\*) **Explanation**

I'll explain the first half of the second case above using linear approximation.<sup>†</sup> The same explanation works in general.

Suppose that the variable  $\alpha$  changes by a small amount  $\Delta\alpha$  and that the variable  $\beta$  is held fixed. In this case, the three variables  $x, y$  and  $z$  will change by the amounts  $\Delta x, \Delta y$  and  $\Delta z$ , and if  $\Delta\alpha$  is small then these changes can be approximated using linear approximation:

$$\Delta x \approx \frac{\partial x}{\partial \alpha} \cdot \Delta\alpha, \quad \Delta y \approx \frac{\partial y}{\partial \alpha} \cdot \Delta\alpha \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta z \approx \frac{\partial z}{\partial \alpha} \cdot \Delta\alpha. \quad (1)$$

Now when  $x, y$  and  $z$  all change, then  $w$  will change by some amount  $\Delta w$ . If the change  $\Delta\alpha$  is small enough, then the resulting changes  $\Delta x, \Delta y$  and  $\Delta z$  will also be small, which means that  $\Delta w$  can also be approximated using (the more general form of) linear approximation:

$$\Delta w \approx \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \cdot \Delta x + \frac{\partial w}{\partial y} \cdot \Delta y + \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} \cdot \Delta z. \quad (2)$$

Substituting the approximations from Equation (1) into the approximation Equation (2), we see that if  $\Delta\alpha$  is small enough, then

$$\Delta w \approx \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \cdot \frac{\partial x}{\partial \alpha} \cdot \Delta\alpha + \frac{\partial w}{\partial y} \cdot \frac{\partial y}{\partial \alpha} \cdot \Delta\alpha + \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} \cdot \frac{\partial z}{\partial \alpha} \cdot \Delta\alpha, \quad (3)$$

---

<sup>†</sup>See the Lecture Notes from 11/13/17 on the course website.

and dividing both sides of (3) by  $\Delta\alpha$  shows that

$$\frac{\Delta w}{\Delta\alpha} \approx \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \cdot \frac{\partial x}{\partial\alpha} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial y} \cdot \frac{\partial y}{\partial\alpha} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} \cdot \frac{\partial z}{\partial\alpha}$$

and furthermore, this approximation becomes increasingly accurate as  $\Delta\alpha$  shrinks to 0. In other words,

$$\frac{\partial w}{\partial\alpha} = \lim_{\Delta\alpha \rightarrow 0} \frac{\Delta w}{\Delta\alpha} = \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \cdot \frac{\partial x}{\partial\alpha} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial y} \cdot \frac{\partial y}{\partial\alpha} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} \cdot \frac{\partial z}{\partial\alpha},$$

as the chain rule states.

(\*) **The Envelope Theorem**

*Read Supplementary Note #4.<sup>‡</sup>*

---

<sup>‡</sup>Again, right? Because you already read it at least once, as suggested in the syllabus.